P: (206) 467-5444 F: (206) 467-5544

Case 3:16-cv-00139-MO Document 1 Filed 01/26/16 Page 1 of 5

complaint alleges breach of contract stemming from a settlement agreement under an insurance policy issued to Defendant Chaznline Construction, Inc. (hereinafter "Chaznline"). A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. This action is based on an insurance settlement dispute. Plaintiff alleges breach of contract against St. Paul based on settlement of claims relating to events that transpired on December 29, 2011 in Williams County, North Dakota.

The Complaint alleges that the Plaintiff is an individual and that St. Paul, acting on behalf of Chaznline, made a settlement offer to Plaintiff in Portland, Oregon. Further, this offer of settlement was allegedly accepted by Plaintiff in Portland, Oregon. **Ex. 1**  $\P$  **2.** 

The Complaint further alleges that Defendant St. Paul is a corporation domiciled in the State of Connecticut. **Ex. 1** ¶ **1.** The Complaint in-turn claims that St. Paul conducts regular, sustained business in Oregon. **Ex. 1** ¶ **1.** 

# II. DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP

On information and belief, the Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Oregon.

For purposes of diversity under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, St. Paul is a foreign insurance company organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut and with a principal place of business in the State of Connecticut. Defendant Chaznline is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Montana and with a principal place of business in the State of Montana

## III. AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

Plaintiff in his Complaint seeks judgment in the amount of \$1,350,000. **Ex 1**  $\P$  **3.** 

All damages claimed by Plaintiff are in controversy in this action based upon alleged breach of contract.

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Based on the claims for damages set forth above, the amount in controversy clearly exceeds the \$75,000.00 jurisdictional threshold.

#### IV. **JURISDICTION**

For purposes of determining jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, Defendant St. Paul is a citizen of the State of Connecticut. Defendant Chaznline is a citizen of the State of Montana. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Oregon. As a result, diversity is complete.

The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 excluding interest and costs.

This Court, therefore, has original jurisdiction over this controversy under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

#### V. **TIMELINESS**

Plaintiff filed the original Complaint on January 8, 2016. Defendant filed this Notice of Removal on January 26, 2016. Accordingly, Defendant is entitled to remove this action and this Notice of Removal is timely pursuant to and in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446.

### VI. COPIES OF PROCESS, PLEADINGS, ORDERS, AND MOTIONS IN STATE **COURT PROCEEDINGS**

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446, attached to this notice as **Exhibits 1** is a true and correct copy of the pleadings and documents in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon docket as of the date of this filing. These documents are:

# Exhibit 1: Plaintiff's Complaint

//

1	DATED this 26th day of January, 2016.
2	LETHER & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
3	s/ Thomas Lether s/ Eric Neal
4	Thomas Lether, OSB #101708 Eric J. Neal, OSB #110268
5	1848 Westlake Ave N., Suite 100 Seattle, WA 98109
6	Telephone: (206) 467-5444 Facsimile: (206) 467-5544
7	tlether@letherlaw.com eneal@letherlaw.com
8	Attorneys for Defendant
9	St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	

22

23